

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,830	02/01/2001	Ziwei Huang	HUA01-NP007	7050
23973 75	. 03/05/2004		EXAM	INER
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ONE LOGAN SOUARE			LE, EMILY M	
	ERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103-6996		1648	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`						
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/773,830	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Emily Le	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	ı the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/01</u>	7/2001					
_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	have been received. have been received in App ty documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/773,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. claims 1-4 and 9, drawn to a peptide fragment of SEQ ID NO: 1, classified in class 930, subclass 220.
 - II. claims 5-8 and 10-30, drawn to a peptide, classified in class 930, subclass 21.
 - III. claims 31-34, drawn to a method of inhibiting entry of HIV-1 into CXCR4-expressing cells, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
 - IV. claims 35-38, drawn to a method of treating infection by HIV-1, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - V. claims 39-42, drawn to a method of inhibiting a disease, classified in class 424, subclass 9.411.
 - VI. claims 43-46, drawn to a method of treating a disease, classified in class 424, subclass 185.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are expected to have different effects. The invention of Group I is drawn to a structurally different sequence than that of the sequence that is recited in the invention of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,830

Art Unit: 1648

- 3. Inventions I and III-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different category of inventions. The invention of Group I is a composition, and the inventions of Groups III-VI are method of inhibiting or treating a disease.
- 4. Inventions II and III-VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the peptide of Group II can be employed in a number of materially different processes such as antibody capture assays or receptor-ligand binding assays.

The claims encompass a large number of peptides, the different permutations that can be made from the claims. Therefore, Applicant is advised that a single peptide must be elected with Group II-VI and the claims be amended appropriately to reflect the restriction requirement and election. In addition, Applicant must specify if the elected sequence consist of D or L amino acids. This is not a species election requirement but rather a restriction requirement.

Each of the peptides and method of use employing said peptides constitutes independent and distinct inventions and are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

Application/Control Number: 09/773,830 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the possible peptides has a different structure and attendant immunological and chemical properties. Accordingly, separate searches will also be required for each peptide.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re

Application/Control Number: 09/773,830

Art Unit: 1648

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/773,830

Art Unit: 1648

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.Le Couly L

Patent Examiner, AU 1648